

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

### Specification Amendments

By the above amendment to the Specification, Applicant has made all corrections requested at point 1 of the Office Action including those specific corrections noted for paragraphs 54, 59, 68, 71, 72, 83, and 86. Throughout the paragraphs 31-86, “means” language has been amended as requested. For example, “auxiliary power storage means 300” is now “auxiliary power storage device 300”; “switching means” is amended to either “switch” or “switching mechanism”; “connecting means” is amended to “connector,” and so on.

### Drawing Amendments

The appended Replacement Sheets for Figures 2-4 address points 2-5 on pp. 2-3 of the Office Action.

Regarding point 2, the labels “ground to 300” and “ground to 130” have been deleted from Figs. 2-4.

Regarding point 3, (a) the part “310” has been added to Figs. 2 and 3 by correcting the typographical error of the part 310 mislabeled as “300” in each Figure; (b) the part 150 has been labeled in Figs. 2-3 (note, this part is not represented in Fig. 4; see paragraph 32, last line); (c) the parts 350 and 450 have been added to Figs. 2-4; (d) note that in regard to adding labels for 150, 350 and 450, these represent the first, second and third circuits and include many of the parts already labeled; shaded blocks have been used to show the general extent of each circuit; some labels and lines were repositioned for the sake of clarity; (e) part 360 has been labeled in Figs. 2-4; and, (f) part 460 has been labeled in Figs. 2-3.

Regarding point 4, the reference characters 455 and 480 have been deleted.

Regarding point 5, the duplicate use of reference “300” has been addressed by one to “310” in each of Figs. 2-3.

### Claims

At point 7 of the Office Action, Claims 3, 5, 9, 13, 16-18, and 21 were rejected under 35 USC 112. These claims have all been cancelled.


Claim 4 was objected to because the phrase "a second remote switch" lacked antecedent basis (see Point 6). The claim is amended so that the phrase reads "a remote switch" thereby rectifying this problem and putting Claim 4 in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 14, 15, 19, 20 and 22-24 were allowed (see Point 8, p. 5 of the Office Action. These claims remain un-amended.

### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant submits that the specification, drawings and all remaining pending claims are now in proper form and clearly and patentably distinguish over the prior art. Therefore Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action Applicant respectfully solicits. No new matter has been added.

Date: 25 May 2006



---

Patricia Smith King  
Registration No. 41899  
King Research & Law  
10 E. Doty Street, Ste. 800  
Madison, WI 53703  
1-608-231-2988  
Customer No. 22224